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#### Abstract

It is studied the lower semicontinuity of functionals of the type $\int_{\Omega} f(x, u, v, \nabla u) d x$ with respect to the $\left(W^{1,1} \times L^{p}\right)$-weak $*$ topology. Moreover in absence of lower semicontinuity, it is also provided an integral representation in $W^{1,1} \times L^{p}$ for the lower semicontinuous envelope.


## 1 Introduction

In this paper we consider energies depending on two vector fields with different behaviours: $u \in W^{1,1}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), v \in L^{p}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right), \Omega$ being a bounded open set of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Let $1<p \leq+\infty$, for every $(u, v) \in W^{1,1}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times L^{p}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ define the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(u, v):=\int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x), v(x), \nabla u(x)) d x \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times N} \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ is a continuous function with linear growth in the last variable and $p$-growth in the third variable (cf. $\left(H 1_{p}\right)$ and $\left(H 1_{\infty}\right)$ below).

The energies (1), which generalize those considered by [14], [15] and [9], have been introduced to deal with equilibria for systems depending on elastic strain and chemical composition. In this context a multiphase alloy is represented by the set $\Omega$, the deformation gradient is given by $\nabla u$, and $v$ (when $m=1$ ) denotes the chemical composition of the system. We also recall that our result may find applications also in the framework of Elasticity, when dealing with Cosserat's theory, see [19]. In [14], the density $f \equiv f(v, \nabla u)$ is a convex-quasiconvex function, while in our model we also take into account heterogeneities and the deformation, without imposing any convexity restriction.

We are interested in studying the lower semicontinuity and relaxation of (1) with respect to the $L^{1}$-strong $\times L^{p}$-weak convergence. Clearly, bounded sequences $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset W^{1,1}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ may converge in $L^{1}$, up to a subsequence, to a $B V$ function. In this paper we restrict our analysis to limits $u$ which are in $W^{1,1}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Thus, our results can be considered as a step towards the study of relaxation in $B V\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times L^{p}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ of functionals (1).

We will consider separately the cases $1<p<\infty$ and $p=\infty$. To this end we introduce for $1<p<+\infty$ the functional

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{J}_{p}(u, v):=\inf \left\{\liminf J\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right): u_{n}\right. & \in W^{1,1}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), v_{n} \tag{2}
\end{align*} \in L^{p}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right),
$$

for any pair $(u, v) \in W^{1,1}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times L^{p}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$, and for $p=\infty$ the functional

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{J}_{\infty}(u, v):=\inf \left\{\liminf J\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right): u_{n}\right. \in W^{1,1}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \\
& v_{n} \in L^{\infty}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right),  \tag{3}\\
& u_{n}\left.\rightarrow u \text { in } L^{1}, v_{n} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} v \text { in } L^{\infty}\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

for any pair $(u, v) \in W^{1,1}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times L^{\infty}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$.
For any $p \in(1,+\infty]$ we will achieve the following integral representation (see Theorems 12 and 14):

$$
\bar{J}_{p}(u, v)=\int_{\Omega} C Q f(x, u(x), v(x), \nabla u(x)) d x
$$

where $C Q f$ represents the convex-quasiconvexification of $f$ defined in (6).

## 2 Notations and General Facts

In this section we introduce the sets of assumptions we will make to obtain our results. We prove some properties related to convex-quasiconvex functions and we recall several facts that will be useful through the paper.

### 2.1 Assumptions

Let $1<p<+\infty$, to obtain a characterization of the relaxed functional $\bar{J}_{p}$ in (2), we will make several hypotheses on the continuous function $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times$ $\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times N} \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$. They are inspired by the set of assumptions in [17] for the case with no dependence on $v$.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{p}\right)$ There exists a constant $C$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{C}\left(|v|^{p}+|\xi|\right)-C \leq f(x, u, v, \xi) \leq C\left(1+|v|^{p}+|\xi|\right)
$$

for every $(x, u, \xi) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times N}$
$\left(\mathrm{H} 2_{p}\right)$ For every compact set $K$ of $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$ there exists a continuous function $\omega_{K}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ with $\omega_{K}(0)=0$ such that

$$
\left|f(x, u, v, \xi)-f\left(x^{\prime}, u^{\prime}, v, \xi\right)\right| \leq \omega_{K}\left(\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|+\left|u-u^{\prime}\right|\right)\left(1+|v|^{p}+|\xi|\right)
$$

for every $(x, u, v, \xi)$ and $\left(x^{\prime}, u^{\prime}, v, \xi\right)$ in $K \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times N}$.
Moreover, given $x_{0} \in \Omega$, and $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that if $\left|x-x_{0}\right| \leq \delta$ then
$\forall(u, v, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times N} \quad f(x, u, v, \xi)-f\left(x_{0}, u, v, \xi\right) \geq-\varepsilon\left(1+|v|^{p}+|\xi|\right)$.
In order to characterize the functional $\bar{J}_{\infty}$ defined in (3) we will replace assumptions $\left(\mathrm{H} 1_{p}\right)$ and ( $\mathrm{H} 2_{p}$ ) by the following ones.
$\left(\mathrm{H} 1_{\infty}\right)$ Given $M>0$, there exist $C_{M}>0$ and a bounded continuous function $G_{M}: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ such that, if $|v| \leq M$ then
$\forall(x, u, \xi) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times N} \quad \frac{1}{C_{M}} G_{M}(x, u)|\xi|-C_{M} \leq f(x, u, v, \xi) \leq C_{M} G_{M}(x, u)(1+|\xi|)$.
( $\mathrm{H} 2_{\infty}$ ) For every $M>0$, and for every compact set $K$ of $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$ there exists a continuous function $\omega_{M, K}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ with $\omega_{M, K}(0)=0$ such that if $|v| \leq M$ then

$$
\left|f(x, u, v, \xi)-f\left(x_{0}, u_{0}, v, \xi\right)\right| \leq \omega_{M, K}\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|+\left|u-u_{0}\right|\right)(1+|\xi|)
$$

for every $(x, u, \xi),\left(x_{0}, u_{0}, \xi\right) \in K \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times N}$.
Moreover, given $M>0, x_{0} \in \Omega$, and $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that if $|v| \leq M$ and $\left|x-x_{0}\right| \leq \delta$ then

$$
\forall(u, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times N} \quad f(x, u, v, \xi)-f\left(x_{0}, u, v, \xi\right) \geq-\varepsilon G_{M}(x, u)(1+|\xi|),
$$

where the function $G_{M}$ is as in $\left(H 1_{\infty}\right)$.

### 2.2 Convex-Quasiconvex Functions

We start recalling the notion of convex-quasiconvex function, presented in [14] (see also [19, Definition 4.1], [15] and [13]). This notion plays, in the context of lower semicontinuity problems where the density depends on two fields $v, \nabla u$, the same role of the well known notion of quasiconvexity introduced by Morrey for the lower semicontinuity of functionals where the dependence is just on $\nabla u$.
Definition 1. A Borel measurable function $h: \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be convex-quasiconvex if there exists a bounded open set $D$ of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(v, \xi) \leq \frac{1}{|D|} \int_{D} h(v+\eta(x), \xi+\nabla \varphi(x)) d x \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $(v, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times N}$, for every $\eta \in L^{\infty}\left(D ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$, with $\int_{D} \eta(x) d x=0$, and for every $\varphi \in W_{0}^{1, \infty}\left(D ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

Remark 2. (i) It can be easily seen that, if $h$ is convex-quasiconvex, then, condition (4) is true for any bounded open set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$.
(ii) We recall that a convex-quasiconvex function is separately convex.
(iii) Through this paper we will work with functions $f$ defined in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times$ $\mathbb{R}^{n \times N}$ and when saying that $f$ is convex-quasiconvex we mean the previous definition with respect to the last two variables of $f$.

The following result adapts to the context of $W^{1,1} \times L^{p}$, i.e. growth conditions expressed by $\left(\mathrm{H}_{p}\right)$, a well known result due to Marcellini (see Proposition 2.32 in [10] or Lemma 5.42 in [3]). Indeed the following proposition follows as a particular case of [8, Proposition 2.11] .
Proposition 3. Let $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a separately convex function in each entry of the variables $(v, \xi)$, verifying the growth condition

$$
|f(x, u, v, \xi)| \leq c\left(1+|\xi|+|v|^{p}\right), \forall(x, u, \xi, v) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}
$$

for some $p>1$.
Then, denoting by $p^{\prime}$, the conjugate exponent of $p$, there exists a constant $\gamma>0$ such that
$\left|f(x, u, v, \xi)-f\left(x, u, v^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq \gamma\left|\xi-\xi^{\prime}\right|+\gamma\left(1+|v|^{p-1}+\left|v^{\prime}\right|^{p-1}+\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{1 / p^{\prime}}\right)\left|v-v^{\prime}\right|$
for every $\xi, \xi^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times N}, v, v^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and $(x, u) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
A similar result holds in the case $W^{1,1} \times L^{\infty}$ (i.e. growth conditions expressed by $\left(\mathrm{H} 1_{\infty}\right)$ ).
Proposition 4. Let $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a separately convex function in each entry of the variables $(v, \xi)$, verifying assumption $\left(\mathrm{H} 1_{\infty}\right)$. Then, given $M>0$ there exists a constant $\beta(M, n, m, N)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f(x, u, v, \xi)-f\left(x, u, v^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq \beta\left(1+|\xi|+\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\right)\left|v-v^{\prime}\right|+\beta\left|\xi-\xi^{\prime}\right| \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $v, v^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$, such that $|v| \leq M$ and $\left|v^{\prime}\right| \leq M$, for every $\xi, \xi^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times N}$ and for every $(x, u) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

We introduce the notion of convex-quasiconvexification with respect to the last variables for a function $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times N} \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$. This notion is crucial in order to deal with the subsequent relaxation processes.

If $h: \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is any given Borel measurable function bounded from below, it can be defined the convex-quasiconvex envelope of $h$, that is the largest convex-quasiconvex function below $h$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
C Q h(v, \xi):=\sup \{g(v, \xi): g \leq h, g \text { convex-quasiconvex }\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, by Theorem 4.16 in [19]

$$
\begin{align*}
C Q h(v, \xi)=\inf & \left\{\frac{1}{|D|} \int_{D} h(v+\eta(x), \xi+\nabla \varphi(x)) d x:\right. \\
& \left.\eta \in L^{\infty}\left(D ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right), \int_{D} \eta(x) d x=0, \varphi \in W_{0}^{1, \infty}\left(D ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right),\right\} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently given a Carathéodory function $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, by $C Q f(x, u, v, \xi)$ we denote the convex-quasiconvexification of $f(x, u, v, \xi)$ with respect to the last two variables.

As for convex-quasiconvexity, condition (7) can be stated for any bounded open set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and it can be also showed that if $f$ satisfies a growth condition of the type $\left(\mathrm{H} 1_{p}\right)$ then in (4) and (7) the spaces $L^{\infty}$ and $W_{0}^{1, \infty}$ can be replaced by $L^{p}$ and $W_{0}^{1,1}$, respectively.

The following results will be exploited in the sequel. We omit the proofs since they are very similar to [21, Proposition 2.2], in turn inspired by [10].
Proposition 5. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be a bounded open set and $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times N}$ $\rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ be a continuous function satisfying $\left(\mathrm{H} 1_{p}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H} 2_{p}\right)$. Let $C Q f$ be the convex-quasiconvexification of $f$ in (7). Then $C Q f$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{H} 1_{p}\right),\left(\mathrm{H} 2_{p}\right)$ and it is a continuous function.

Analogously it holds
Proposition 6. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be a bounded open set, let $\alpha:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ be a convex and increasing function, such that $\alpha(0)=0$ and let $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times$ $\mathbb{R}^{n \times N} \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ be a continuous function satisfying the following conditions. For a.e. $(x, u) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and for every $(v, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times N}$ it results

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{C}(\alpha(|v|)+|\xi|)-C \leq f(x, u, v, \xi) \leq C(1+\alpha(|v|)+|\xi|) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every compact set $K \subset \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$ there exists a continuous function $\omega_{K}^{\prime}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow$ $[0,+\infty)$ such that $\omega_{K}^{\prime}(0)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f(x, u, v, \xi)-f\left(x^{\prime}, u^{\prime}, v, \xi\right)\right| \leq \omega_{K}^{\prime}\left(\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|+\left|u-u^{\prime}\right|\right)(1+\alpha(|v|)+|\xi|), \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\forall(x, u),\left(x^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right) \in K, \forall(v, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times N}$.
For every $x_{0} \in \Omega$ and $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x-x_{0}\right| \leq \delta \Rightarrow f(x, u, v, \xi)-f\left(x_{0}, u, v, \xi\right) \geq-\varepsilon(1+\alpha(|v|)+|\xi|), \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\forall(u, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times N}$
Let CQf be the convex- quasiconvexification of $f$ (see (7)). Then CQf satisfies analogous conditions to (8), (9) and (10). Moreover CQf is a continuous function.
Remark 7. We observe that, if from one hand (8), (9), (10) generalize $\left(H 1_{p}\right)$ and $\left(H 2_{p}\right)$, from the other hand they can be regarded also as a stronger version of $\left(H 1_{\infty}\right)$ and $\left(H 2_{\infty}\right)$.

In order to provide an integral representation for $\bar{J}_{p}$ in (2) and $\bar{J}_{\infty}$ in (3) on $W^{1,1} \times L^{p}$ and $W^{1,1} \times L^{\infty}$ respectively, we prove some preliminary results. For every $p \in(1,+\infty]$ we introduce the following functionals $J_{C Q f}: L^{1}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times$ $L^{p}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ defined as

$$
J_{C Q f}(u, v):= \begin{cases}\int_{\Omega} C Q f(x, u(x), v(x), \nabla u(x)) d x & \text { if }(u, v) \in W^{1,1} \times L^{p} \\ +\infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and its relaxed one

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{J_{C Q f}}(u, v):=\inf \left\{\underset{n}{\liminf J_{C Q f}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right):}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \in W^{1,1}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times L^{p}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right),\right. \\
&\left.u_{n} \rightarrow u \text { in } L^{1}, v_{n} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} v \text { in } L^{p}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 8. Let $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times N} \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ be a continuous function. Let $p \in(1,+\infty]$ and consider the functionals $J$ and $J_{C Q f}$ and their corresponding relaxed functionals $\bar{J}_{p}$ and $\overline{J_{C Q f}}$. If $f$ satisfies conditions $\left(H 1_{p}\right)-\left(H 2_{p}\right)$ (if $p \in(1,+\infty)$ ), and both $f$ and CQf satisfy $\left(H 1_{\infty}\right)-\left(H 2_{\infty}\right)$ (if $p=+\infty$ ), then

$$
\bar{J}_{p}(u, v)=\overline{J_{C Q f}}(u, v)
$$

for every $(u, v) \in B V\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times L^{p}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$.
Remark 9. We emphasize that in the above lemma, by virtue of Proposition 5, if $p \in(1,+\infty)$ it is enough to assume growth and continuity hypotheses just on $f$ (and not on $C Q f$ ). If $p=+\infty$, by virtue of Proposition 6, we can also only make assumptions of $f$, replacing conditions $\left(H 1_{\infty}\right)$ and $\left(H 2_{\infty}\right)$ by (8) - (10).
Proof. The argument is close to the proof of [21, Lemma 3.1]. First we observe that, since $C Q f \leq f$, it results $\overline{J_{C Q f}} \leq \bar{J}_{p}$. Next we prove the opposite inequality in the nontrivial case that $\overline{J_{C Q f}}(u, v)<+\infty$. For fixed $\delta>0$, we can consider $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \in W^{1,1}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times L^{p}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ with $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^{1}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, $v_{n} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} v$ in $L^{p}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ and such that

$$
\overline{J_{C Q f}}(u, v) \geq \lim _{n} \int_{\Omega} C Q f\left(x, u_{n}(x), v_{n}(x), \nabla u_{n}(x)\right) d x-\delta
$$

Applying the results in [8] and [9], for each $n$ there exists a sequence $\left\{\left(u_{n, k}, v_{n, k}\right\}\right.$ converging to $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)$ weakly in $W^{1,1}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times L^{p}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ such that
$\int_{\Omega} C Q f\left(x, u_{n}(x), v_{n}(x), \nabla u_{n}(x)\right) d x=\lim _{k} \int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n, k}(x), v_{n, k}(x), \nabla u_{n, k}(x)\right) d x$.
Consequently

$$
\begin{gather*}
\overline{J_{C Q f}}(u, v) \geq \lim _{n} \lim _{k} \int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n, k}(x), v_{n, k}(x), \nabla u_{n, k}(x)\right) d x-\delta,  \tag{11}\\
\lim _{n} \lim _{k}\left\|u_{n, k}-u\right\|_{L^{1}}=0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
v_{n, k} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} v \text { in } L^{p} \text { as } k \rightarrow+\infty \text { and } n \rightarrow+\infty .
$$

Via a diagonal argument (remind that weak $L^{p}$ and weak $* L^{\infty}$ - topologies are metrizable on bounded sets), there exists a sequence $\left\{\left(u_{n, k_{n}}, v_{n, k_{n}}\right)\right\}$ satisfying $u_{n, k_{n}} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{1}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), v_{n, k_{n}} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} v$ in $L^{p}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ and realizing the double limit in the right hand side of (11). Thus, it results

$$
\overline{J_{C Q f}}(u, v) \geq \lim _{n} \int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n, k_{n}}(x), v_{n, k_{n}}(x), \nabla u_{n, k_{n}}(x)\right) d x-\delta \geq \bar{J}_{p}(u, v)-\delta .
$$

Letting $\delta$ go to 0 the conclusion follows.

### 2.3 Some Results on Measure Theory

Let $\Omega$ be a generic open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, we denote by $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ the space of all signed Radon measures in $\Omega$ with bounded total variation. By the Riesz Representation Theorem, $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ can be identified to the dual of the separable space $\mathcal{C}_{0}(\Omega)$ of continuous functions on $\Omega$ vanishing on the boundary $\partial \Omega$. The $N$-dimensional Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ is designated as $\mathcal{L}^{N}$ while $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$ denotes the $(N-1)$ dimensional Hausdorff measure. If $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ is a nonnegative Radon measure, we denote by $\frac{d \mu}{d \lambda}$ the Radon-Nikodým derivative of $\mu$ with respect to $\lambda$. By a generalization of the Besicovich Differentiation Theorem (see [2, Proposition 2.2]), it can be proved that there exists a Borel set $E \subset \Omega$ such that $\lambda(E)=0$ and

$$
\frac{d \mu}{d \lambda}(x)=\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\mu(x+\rho C))}{\lambda(x+\rho C))}
$$

for all $x \in \operatorname{Supp} \mu \backslash E$ and any open convex set $C$ containing the origin. (Recall that the set $E$ is independent of $C$.)

We also recall the following generalization of Lebesgue-Besicovitch Differentiation Theorem, as stated in [18, Theorem 2.8].

Theorem 10. If $\mu$ is a nonnegative Radon measure and if $f \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; \mu\right)$ then

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{\mu(x+\varepsilon C)} \int_{x+\varepsilon C}|f(y)-f(x)| d \mu(y)=0
$$

for $\mu$-a.e. $\quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and for every bounded, convex, open set $C$ containing the origin.

In particular, if $v \in L^{\infty}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$, then, for $\mathcal{L}^{N}$-a.e. $x \in \Omega$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\left|B_{\varepsilon}(x)\right|} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(x)}|v(y)-v(x)| d y=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the sequel we exploit the Calderón-Zygmund theorem for $u \in B V$, cf. [3, Theorem 3.83, page 176]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon\left|B_{\varepsilon}(x)\right|} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(x)}|u(y)-u(x)-\nabla u(x)(y-x)| d y=0 \quad \mathcal{L}^{N}-\text { a.e. } x \in \Omega \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 Lower semicontinuity in $W^{1,1} \times L^{p}$

This section is devoted to provide a lower bound for the integral representation of $\bar{J}_{p}$ in (2) under assumptions $\left(\mathrm{H} 1_{p}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H} 2_{p}\right)$, as stated in Theorem 14. Clearly this is equivalent to prove the lower semicontinuity with respect to the $L^{1}$-strong $\times L^{p}$-weak topology of $\int_{\Omega} C Q f(x, u(x), v(x), \nabla u(x)) d x$, when $(u, v) \in W^{1,1} \times L^{p}$.

Indeed we prove the following result

Theorem 11. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded open set of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, and let $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times$ $\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times N} \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ be a continuous function. Assuming that $f$ satisfies hypotheses $\left(\mathrm{H}_{p}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H} 2_{p}\right)$, and it is convex-quasiconvex, it results that $\int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x), v(x), \nabla u(x)) d x$ is lower semicontinuous in $W^{1,1}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times L^{p}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$, with respect to the ( $L^{1}$-strong $\times L^{p}$-weak)- convergence.

Proof. The proof is mostly a combination of the theorems in [18] and [14], which used already some ideas from [17]. For convenience of the reader we present here some details, however we may refer to some separate results in the papers mentioned above.

Let

$$
G(u, v)=\int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x), v(x), \nabla u(x)) d x .
$$

It's enough to prove that for every $(u, v) \in W^{1,1}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times L^{p}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right), G(u, v) \leq$ $\liminf J\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)$ for any $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{1}$ with $u_{n} \in W^{1,1}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $v_{n} \rightharpoonup v$ in $L^{p}$.

Using the same arguments as in [1, Proof of Theorem II.4] (see also [17, Proposition 2.4]) and the density of smooth functions in $L^{p}$, we can reduce to the case where $u_{n} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $v_{n} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$.

Moreover, we can also suppose

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} J\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} J\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)<+\infty
$$

Then $J\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)$ is bounded and so, up to a subsequence, $\mu_{n}:=f\left(x, u_{n}, v_{n}, \nabla u_{n}\right) d x \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup}$ $\mu$ in the sense of measures for some positive measure $\mu$.

By the Radon-Nikodym theorem, $\mu=g \mathcal{L}^{N}+\mu_{s}$ for some $g \in L^{1}(\Omega)$, with $\mu_{s}$ singular with respect to $\mathcal{L}^{N}$. It will be enough to prove the following inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x) \geq f(x, u(x), v(x), \nabla u(x)), \mathcal{L}^{N}-\text { a.e. } x \in \Omega \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, once proved (14), since $\mu_{n} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} \mu$, by the lower semicontinuity of $\mu$, and since $\mu_{s}$ is nonnegative

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} J\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n}(x), v_{n}(x), \nabla u_{n}(x)\right) d x \\
& \geq \int_{\Omega} d \mu(x)=\int_{\Omega} g(x) d x+\int_{\Omega} d \mu_{s}(x) \\
& \geq \int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x), v(x), \nabla u(x)) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to prove (14), we follow the proofs of Theorem 2.1 in [17] and condition (2.3) in [14]. We start freezing the terms $x$ and $u$. This will be achieved through Steps 1 to 5 .

By the Besicovitch derivation theorem

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu\left(B_{\varepsilon}(x)\right)}{\left|B_{\varepsilon}(x)\right|} \in \mathbb{R} \quad \mathcal{L}^{N} \text { - a.e. } x \in \Omega . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x_{0}$ be any element of $\Omega$ satisfying (15), (13) and (12) (notice that such an $x_{0}$ can be taken in $\Omega$ up to a set of Lebesgue-measure zero) and let's prove that $g\left(x_{0}\right) \geq f\left(x_{0}, u\left(x_{0}\right), v\left(x_{0}\right), \nabla u\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$. First remark that, as noticed before, since $v_{n} \rightharpoonup v$ in $L^{p}$, we have $\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}},\|v\|_{L^{p}} \leq C$.
Step 1. Localization. This part can be reproduced in the same way as in [17]: pages 1085-1086. We present some details for the reader's convenienece. We start providing a first estimate for $g$. Observe that we can choose a sequence $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$such that $\mu\left(\partial B_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=0$. Let $B:=B_{1}(0)$. Applying Proposition 1.203 iii) in [16],

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g\left(x_{0}\right)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{N}} \frac{\mu\left(B_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)}{|B|} \\
& =\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{N}|B|} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)} f\left(y, u_{n}(y), v_{n}(y), \nabla u_{n}(y)\right) d y \\
& =\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B} f\left(x_{0}+\varepsilon x, u_{n}\left(x_{0}+\varepsilon x\right), v_{n}\left(x_{0}+\varepsilon x\right), \nabla u_{n}\left(x_{0}+\varepsilon x\right)\right) d x \\
& \geq \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B} f\left(x_{0}+\varepsilon x, u\left(x_{0}\right)+\varepsilon w_{n, \varepsilon}(x), v_{n}\left(x_{0}+\varepsilon x\right), \nabla w_{n, \varepsilon}(x)\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

where $w_{n, \varepsilon}(x)=\frac{u_{n}\left(x_{0}+\varepsilon x\right)-u\left(x_{0}\right)}{\varepsilon}$.
Step 2. Blow-up. Next we will "identify the limits" of $w_{n, \varepsilon}$ and $v_{n}\left(x_{0}+\varepsilon\right.$.) in a sense to be made precise below. Define $w_{0}: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $w_{0}(x)=$ $\nabla u\left(x_{0}\right) x$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|w_{n, \varepsilon}-w_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}(B)}=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{B}\left|\frac{u_{n}\left(x_{0}+\varepsilon x\right)-u\left(x_{0}\right)}{\varepsilon}-\nabla u\left(x_{0}\right) x\right| d x \\
& =\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{N+1}} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)}\left|u(y)-u\left(x_{0}\right)-\nabla u\left(x_{0}\right)\left(y-x_{0}\right)\right| d y=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used (13) in the last identity.
Let $q$ be the Hölder's conjugate exponent of $p$. Since $L^{q}$ is separable, consider $\left\{\varphi_{l}\right\}$ a countable dense set of functions in $L^{q}(B)$. Then
$\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left|\int_{B}\left(v_{n}\left(x_{0}+\varepsilon x\right)-v\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \varphi_{l}(x) d x\right|=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left|\int_{B}\left(v\left(x_{0}+\varepsilon x\right)-v\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \varphi_{l}(x) d x\right|=0$
where we have used in the last identity the fact that $x_{0}$ is a Lebesgue point for $v$.

Step 3. Diagonalization. Arguing as in [18] and [14] we can use a diagonalization argument to find $\varepsilon_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}, w_{n} \in W^{1, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $v_{n} \in L^{p}\left(B ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right) \cap$
$C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$, such that $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0, w_{n} \rightarrow w_{0}$ in $L^{1}\left(B ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), v_{n} \rightharpoonup v\left(x_{0}\right)$ in $L^{p}\left(B ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$ and

$$
g\left(x_{0}\right) \geq \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B} f\left(x_{0}+\varepsilon_{n} x, u\left(x_{0}\right)+\varepsilon_{n} w_{n}(x), v_{n}(x), \nabla w_{n}(x)\right) d x
$$

Step 4. Truncation. We show that the sequences $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ constructed in the preceding steps can be replaced by sequences $\left\{\widetilde{w}_{n}\right\} \subset W_{\text {loc }}^{1, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\left\{\widetilde{v}_{n}\right\} \subset L^{p}\left(B ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right) \cap C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ such that $\left\|\widetilde{w}_{n}\right\|_{W^{1,1}\left(B ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq C, \widetilde{w}_{n} \rightarrow w_{0}$ in $L^{\infty}\left(B ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad\left\|\widetilde{v}_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(B ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq C, \widetilde{v}_{n} \rightharpoonup v\left(x_{0}\right)$ in $L^{p}\left(B ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ and

$$
g\left(x_{0}\right) \geq \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}^{N}(B)} \int_{B} f\left(x_{0}+r_{n} x, u\left(x_{0}\right)+r_{n} \widetilde{v}_{n}(x), \tilde{v}_{n}(x), \nabla \widetilde{w}_{n}(x)\right) d x
$$

Let $0<s<t<1$ and $\lambda>1$ and define $\varphi_{s, t}$ a cut-off function such that $0 \leq \varphi_{s, t} \leq 1, \varphi_{s, t}(\tau)=1$ if $\tau \leq s, \varphi_{s, t}(\tau)=0$ if $\tau \geqslant t$ and $\left\|\varphi_{s, t}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{C}{t-s}$.

Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{s, t}^{n, \lambda}(x) & :=w_{0}(x)+\varphi_{s, t}\left(\left|w_{n}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right|+\frac{\left|v_{n}(x)\right|}{\lambda}\right)\left(w_{n}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right), \\
v_{s, t}^{n, \lambda}(x) & :=v\left(x_{0}\right)+\varphi_{s, t}\left(\left|w_{n}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right|+\frac{\left|v_{n}(x)\right|}{\lambda}\right)\left(v_{n}(x)-v\left(x_{0}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|w_{s, t}^{n, \lambda}-w_{0}\right\|_{\infty} \leq t \text { and } v_{s, t}^{n, \lambda} \rightharpoonup v\left(x_{0}\right) \text { in } L^{p} \text { as } n \rightarrow+\infty . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
h_{n}(x, s, b, A):=f\left(x_{0}+r_{n} x, u\left(x_{0}\right)+r_{n} s, b, A\right) .
$$

By the growth conditions there exists $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c\left(|b|^{p}+|A|\right)-C \leq h_{n}(x, s, b, A) \leq C\left(|b|^{p}+|A|+1\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constants $c, C>0$. Consequently there exist $C>0$ such that

$$
-C \leq h_{n}\left(x, w_{0}(x), v\left(x_{0}\right), \nabla w_{0}(x)\right) \leq C
$$

Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B} h_{n}\left(x, w_{s, t}^{n, \lambda}(x), v_{s, t}^{n, \lambda}(x), \nabla w_{s, t}^{n, \lambda}(x)\right) d x= \\
& =\int_{B \cap\left\{\left|w_{n}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right|+\frac{\left|v_{n}(x)\right|}{\lambda} \leq s\right\}} h_{n}\left(x, w_{n}, v_{n}, \nabla w_{n}\right) d x \\
& +\int_{B \cap\left\{s<\left|w_{n}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right|+\frac{\left|v_{n}(x)\right|}{\lambda} \leq t\right\}} h_{n}\left(x, w_{s, t}^{n, \lambda}, v_{s, t}^{n, \lambda}, \nabla w_{s, t}^{n, \lambda}\right) d x \\
& +\int_{B \cap\left\{\left|w_{n}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right|+\frac{\left|v_{n}(x)\right|}{\lambda}>t\right\}} h_{n}\left(x, w_{0}(x), v\left(x_{0}\right), \nabla w_{0}(x)\right) d x \\
& :=I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the growth conditions and the definition of $h_{n}$ we have that

$$
I_{3} \leq C\left|\left\{x \in B:\left|w_{n}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right|+\frac{\left|v_{n}(x)\right|}{\lambda}>t\right\}\right| .
$$

On the other hand, if $s<\left|w_{n}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right|+\frac{\left|v_{n}(x)\right|}{\lambda}<t$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla w_{s, t}^{n, \lambda}(x)=\nabla u\left(x_{0}\right)+\varphi_{s, t}\left(\left|w_{n}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right|+\frac{\left|v_{n}(x)\right|}{\lambda}\right)\left(\nabla w_{n}(x)-\nabla w_{0}(x)\right)+ \\
& \left(w_{n}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right) \otimes \varphi_{s, t}^{\prime}\left(\left|w_{n}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right|+\frac{\left|v_{n}\right|(x)}{\lambda}\right) \nabla\left(\left|w_{n}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right|+\frac{\left|v_{n}(x)\right|}{\lambda}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (17) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{2} \leq C \int_{B \cap\left\{s<\left|w_{n}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right|+\frac{\left|v_{n}(x)\right|}{\lambda} \leq t\right\}}\left(1+\left|\nabla w_{n}(x)-\nabla w_{0}(x)\right|+\left|v_{n}(x)-v\left(x_{0}\right)\right|^{p}\right) d x+ \\
& \frac{C}{t-s} \int_{B \cap\left\{s<\left|w_{n}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right|+\frac{\left|v_{n}(x)\right|}{\lambda} \leq t\right\}}\left|w_{n}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right|\left|\nabla\left(\left|w_{n}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right|+\frac{\left|v_{n}(x)\right|}{\lambda}\right)\right| d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

We remark that for almost every $t$ and $\lambda$ we have
$\lim _{s \rightarrow t^{-}} \int_{\left\{s<\left|w_{n}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right|+\frac{\left|v_{n}(x)\right|}{\lambda}<t\right\}}\left(1+\left|\nabla w_{n}(x)-\nabla u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|+\left|v_{n}(x)-v\left(x_{0}\right)\right|^{p}\right) d x=0$
and by the coarea formula

$$
\left.\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
\lim _{s \rightarrow t^{-}} \frac{1}{t-s} \int_{B \cap\left\{s<\left|w_{n}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right|+\frac{\left|v_{n}(x)\right|}{\lambda} \leq t\right\}}\left|w_{n}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right| \cdot \\
\left.\leq \lim _{s \rightarrow t^{-}} \frac{1}{t-s} \int_{B \cap\left\{s<\left|w_{n}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right|+\frac{\left|v_{n}(x)\right|}{\lambda} \leq t\right\}}\left(\left|w_{n}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right|+\frac{\left|v_{n}(x)\right|}{\lambda}\right) \right\rvert\, d x \leq \\
\qquad\left|\nabla\left(\left|w_{n}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right|+\frac{\left|v_{n}(x)\right|}{\lambda}\right)\right| d x \\
\leq t \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\left\{x \in B:\left|w_{n}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right|+\frac{\left|v_{n}(x)\right|}{\lambda}\right)\right. \\
\lambda \tag{19}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, t\right\}\right) .
$$

Due to the fact that $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ is a $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ sequence, for every $C>0$, for every $n$ there exists $\lambda_{n} \in[1,+\infty)$ such that $\lambda_{n} \leq \lambda_{n+1}, \lambda_{n} \rightarrow+\infty$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$
and $\int_{B} \frac{|\nabla| v_{n}| |}{\lambda_{n}} d x \leq C$. On the other hand by (17)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B \cap\left\{\left|w_{n}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right|+\frac{\left|v_{n}(x)\right|}{\lambda_{n}} \leq 1\right\}}\left|\nabla\left(\left|w_{n}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right|\right)\right| d x \\
& \leq \int_{B \cap\left\{\left|w_{n}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right|+\frac{\left|v_{n}(x)\right|}{\lambda_{n}} \leq 1\right\}}\left(\left|\nabla w_{n}(x)\right|+C\right) d x \\
& \leq C \int_{B} h_{n}\left(x, u_{n},(x), v_{n}(x), \nabla w_{n}(x)\right) d x \leq C
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ are convergent.
Thus

$$
\int_{B \cap\left\{\left|w_{n}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right|+\frac{\left|v_{n}(x)\right|}{\lambda_{n}} \leq 1\right\}}\left|\nabla\left(\left|w_{n}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right|+\frac{\left|v_{n}(x)\right|}{\lambda_{n}}\right)\right| d x \leq C .
$$

Recall that $\int_{B} \frac{\left|v_{n}\right|^{p}}{\lambda_{n}} d x \leq C$ and by Hölder's inequality also $\int_{B} \frac{\left|v_{n}\right|}{\lambda_{n}} d x \leq C$.
Hence, by Lemma 2.6 in [17] there exists
$t_{n} \in\left[\left(\left\|w_{n}-w_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}}+\frac{\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L^{1}}}{\lambda_{n}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},\left\|\left(\left\|w_{n}-w_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}}+\frac{\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L^{1}}}{\lambda_{n}}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\right\|\right]$ such that (18) and (19) hold (with $t=t_{n}$ ), and

$$
t_{n} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\left\{x \in B:\left|w_{n}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right|+\frac{\left|v_{n}(x)\right|}{\lambda_{n}}=t_{n}\right\}\right) \leq \frac{C}{\ln \left(\left\|w_{n}-w_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}}+\frac{\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L^{1}}}{\lambda_{n}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{6}}} .
$$

According to (18) and (19) we may choose $0<s_{n}<t_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\left\{s_{n}<\left|w_{n}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right|+\frac{\left|v_{n}(x)\right|}{\lambda_{n}} \leq t_{n}\right\}}\left(1+\left|\nabla w_{n}(x)-\nabla u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|+\left|v_{n}(x)-v\left(x_{0}\right)\right|^{p}\right) d x=O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \\
& \frac{1}{t_{n}-s_{n}} \int_{B \cap\left\{s_{n}<\left|w_{n}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right|+\frac{\left|v_{n}(x)\right|}{\lambda_{n}} \leq t_{n}\right\}}\left(\left|w_{n}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right|+\frac{\left|v_{n}(x)\right|}{\lambda_{n}}\right) . \\
& \quad\left|\nabla\left(\left|w_{n}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right|+\frac{\left|v_{n}(x)\right|}{\lambda_{n}}\right)\right| d x \\
& \quad \leq t_{n} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\left\{x \in B:\left|w_{n}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right|+\frac{\left|v_{n}(x)\right|}{\lambda_{n}}=t_{n}\right\}\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Set

$$
\widetilde{w}_{n}(x):=w_{s_{n}, t_{n}}^{n, \lambda_{n}}(x), \quad \widetilde{v}_{n}(x):=v_{s_{n}, t_{n}}^{n, \lambda_{n}}(x)
$$

thus by (16)

$$
\left\|\widetilde{w}_{n}-w_{0}\right\|_{\infty} \leq t_{n} \rightarrow 0, \quad \widetilde{v}_{n} \rightharpoonup v\left(x_{0}\right) \text { in } L^{p} \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Using the previous estimates we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(x_{0}\right) \geq & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}^{N}(B)} \int_{B} f\left(x_{0}+r_{n} x, u\left(x_{0}\right)+r_{n} w_{n}(x), v_{n}(x), \nabla w_{n}(x)\right) d x \\
\geq & \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}^{N}(B)} \int_{B \cap\left\{\left|w_{n}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right|+\frac{\left|v_{n}(x)\right|}{\lambda_{n}} \leq s\right\}} h_{n}\left(x, w_{n}(x) v_{n}(x), \nabla w_{n}(x)\right) d x \\
\geq & \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}^{N}(B)} \int_{B} h_{n}\left(x, \widetilde{w}_{n}(x), \widetilde{v}_{n}(x), \nabla \widetilde{w}_{n}(x)\right) d x-O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)+ \\
- & C \\
& \ln \left(\left\|w_{n}-w_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}(B)}+\frac{\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(B^{\prime}\right)} \lambda_{n}}{\lambda_{n}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{6}} \\
- & C \mathcal{L}^{N}\left(\left\{x \in B:\left|w_{n}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right|+\frac{\left|v_{n}(x)\right|}{\lambda_{n}}>t_{n}\right\}\right) \\
= & \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}^{N}(B)} \int_{B} h_{n}\left(x, \widetilde{w}_{n}(x), \widetilde{v}_{n}(x), \nabla \widetilde{w}_{n}(x)\right) d x,
\end{aligned}
$$

since

$$
t_{n} \geq\left(\left\|w_{n}-w_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}(B)}+\frac{\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L^{1}(B)}}{\lambda_{n}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}^{N}\left(\left\{x \in B:\left|w_{n}(x)-w_{0}(x)\right|+\frac{\left|v_{n}(x)\right|}{\lambda_{n}}>t_{n}\right\}\right. & ) \leq \frac{1}{t_{n}}\left(\left\|w_{n}-w_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}(B)}+\frac{\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L^{1}(B)}}{\lambda_{n}}\right) \\
& \leq\left(\left\|w_{n}-w_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}(B)}+\frac{\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L^{1}(B)}}{\lambda_{n}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

The bound of $\left\{\left\|\nabla \widetilde{w}_{n}\right\|_{L^{1}}\right\}$ follows from (17).
Step 5. We now fix in $f$ the value of $x$ and $u$. Indeed, using hypothesis $\left(H 2_{p}\right)$ and the fact that $\nabla \tilde{w}_{n}$ and $\left|\tilde{v}_{n}\right|^{p}$ have bounded $L^{1}$ norm, one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(x_{0}\right) & \geq \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B} f\left(x_{0}+\varepsilon_{n} x, u\left(x_{0}\right)+\varepsilon_{n} \tilde{w}_{n}(x), \tilde{v}_{n}(x), \nabla \tilde{w}_{n}(x)\right) d x \\
& \geq \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B} f\left(x_{0}, u\left(x_{0}\right), \tilde{v}_{n}(x), \nabla \tilde{w}_{n}(x)\right) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 6. At this point we are in an analogous context to [14] and the desired inequality follows in the same way. It relies on the slicing method in order to modify $\tilde{v}_{n}$ and $\tilde{w}_{n}$ and exploit the convex-quasiconvexity of $f$, namely it is possible to find new sequences, denoted by $\bar{v}_{n}$ and $\bar{w}_{n}$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B} \bar{v}_{n}(z) d z=v\left(x_{0}\right) \text { and } \bar{w}_{j} \in w_{0}+W_{0}^{1, \infty}\left(B ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$

## 4 Relaxation in $W^{1,1} \times L^{\infty}$

This section is devoted to characterize the relaxed functional $\bar{J}_{\infty}$ introduced in (3).

Indeed we prove the following relaxation result
Theorem 12. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded open set of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, and let $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times$ $\mathbb{R}^{n \times N} \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ be a continuous function. Then, assuming that $f$ and $C Q f$ satisfy hypotheses $\left(\mathrm{H} 1_{\infty}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H} 2_{\infty}\right)$

$$
\bar{J}_{\infty}(u, v)=\int_{\Omega} C Q f(x, u(x), v(x), \nabla u(x)) d x
$$

for every $(u, v) \in W^{1,1}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times L^{\infty}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$.
Remark 13. 1) We recall that if hypotheses $\left(H 1_{\infty}\right)$ and $\left(H 2_{\infty}\right)$ are replaced by (8)-(10), Propositions 6 and 8 guarantee the validity of Theorem 12 assuming that only $f$ satisfies (8)-(10).
2) We also observe that Theorem 12 can be proven also imposing $\left(H 1_{\infty}\right)$ and $\left(H 2_{\infty}\right)$ only on the function $f$ but with the further requirement that $f$ satisfies (5).
3) We also stress that if $f$ satisfies $\left(H 1_{p}\right)$ and $\left(H 2_{p}\right)$ then clearly $\bar{J}_{p}(u, v) \leq$ $\bar{J}_{\infty}(u, v)$ for every $(u, v) \in B V\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times L^{\infty}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$.

Proof of Theorem 12. The thesis will be achieved by double inequality. Clearly the lower bound can be proven as for the case $W^{1,1} \times L^{p}$, with a proof easier than that of Theorem 11, since it is not necessary 'truncate' the $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ which are already bounded in $L^{\infty}$. For what concerns the upper bound, we first observe that by virtue of Proposition 8, there is no loss of generality in assuming $f$ already convex-quasiconvex. In order to provide an upper bound for $\bar{J}_{\infty}$ we start by localizing our functional. The following procedure is entirely similar to [4, Theorem 4.3]. We define for every open set $A \subset \Omega$ and for any $(u, v) \in$ $B V\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times L^{\infty}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$
$\bar{F}_{\infty}(u, v, A):=\inf \left\{\liminf _{n} F\left(u_{n}, v_{n}, A\right): u_{n} \rightarrow u\right.$ in $L^{1}\left(A ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), v_{n} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} v$ in $\left.L^{\infty}\left(A ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right\}$
where
$F(u, v, A)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\int_{A} f(x, u(x), v(x), \nabla u(x)) d x \text { if }(u, v) \in W^{1,1}\left(A ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times L^{\infty}\left(A ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right), \\ +\infty \text { in }\left(L^{1}\left(A ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \backslash W^{1,1}\left(A ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right) \times L^{\infty}\left(A ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right) .\end{array}\right.$
We start remarking that $\left(H 1_{\infty}\right)$ implies that for every $u \in B V\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and for every $v \in L^{\infty}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ such that $\|v\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq M$, there exists a constant $C_{M}$ such that $\bar{F}_{\infty}(u, v, A) \leq C_{M}(|A|+|D u|(A))$. Moreover one has

1) $\bar{F}_{\infty}$ is local, i.e. $\bar{F}_{\infty}(u, v, A)=\bar{F}_{\infty}\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}, A\right)$, for every $A \subset \Omega$ open, $(u, v),\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right) \in L^{1}\left(A ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times L^{\infty}\left(A ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$.
2) $\bar{F}_{\infty}$ is sequentially lower semi-continuous, i.e. $\bar{F}_{\infty}(u, v, A) \leq \liminf \bar{F}_{\infty}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}, A\right)$, $\forall A \subset \Omega$ open, $\forall u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{1}\left(A ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $v_{n} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} v$ in $L^{\infty}\left(A ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$;
3) $\bar{F}_{\infty}(u, v, \cdot)$ is the trace on $\mathcal{A}(\Omega):=\{A \subset \Omega: A$ is open $\}$ of a Borel measure in $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ (the Borelians of $\Omega$ ).

Condition 1) follows from the fact the adopted convergence doesn't see sets of null Lebesgue measure. Condition 2) follows by a diagonalization argument, entirely similar to the proof of (ii) in [14]. Condition 3) follows applying De Giorgi-Letta criterium, (cf. [12]) and indeed proving that for any fixed $(u, v) \in$ $B V\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times L^{\infty}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$,

$$
\bar{F}_{\infty}(u, v, A) \leq \bar{F}_{\infty}(u, v, C)+\bar{F}_{\infty}(u, v, A \backslash \bar{B}), \forall A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)
$$

We omit the details, since they are very similar to the proof of Theorem 4.3 in [4]. The only difference consists of the fact that one has to deal with both $u^{\prime} s$ and $v^{\prime} s$ and exploit the growth condition $\left(H 1_{\infty}\right)$.

Since $\bar{J}_{\infty}(u, v)=\bar{F}_{\infty}(u, v, \Omega)$ and $\bar{F}_{\infty}(u, v, \cdot)$ is the trace of a Radon measure on the open subsets of $\Omega$, (i. e. $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ ) absolutely continuous with respect to $|D u|+\mathcal{L}^{N}$, it will be enough to prove the following inequality

$$
\frac{d \bar{F}_{\infty}(u, v, \cdot)}{d \mathcal{L}^{N}}(x) \leq f(x, u(x), v(x), \nabla u(x)), \mathcal{L}^{N}-\text { a.e. } x \in \Omega .
$$

The proof of these inequalities follows closely [18], [4] and [5].
Assume first that $(u, v) \in\left(W^{1,1}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right) \times L^{\infty}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$. Fix a point $x_{0} \in \Omega$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \bar{F}_{\infty}(u, v, \cdot)}{d \mathcal{L}^{N}}\left(x_{0}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists and is finite, which is also a Lebesgue point of $u, v$ and $\nabla u$ and a point of approximate differentiability for $u$. Clearly $\mathcal{L}^{N}$-a.e. $x_{0} \in \Omega$ satisfy all the above requirements.
As in [18] (see formula (5.6) therein) we may also assume that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\left|Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right|} \int_{Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)}\left|u(x)-u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|(1+|\nabla u(x)|) d x=0,  \tag{21}\\
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\left|Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right|} \int_{Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)}\left|v(x)-v\left(x_{0}\right)\right||\nabla u(x)| d x=0, \tag{22}
\end{gather*}
$$

(where we used Theorem 10 since $v \in L_{l o c}^{1}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ with respect to the measure $\left.|\nabla u| \mathcal{L}^{N}\right)$. Choose a sequence of numbers $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \operatorname{dist}\left(x_{0}, \partial \Omega\right)\right)$. Then, clearly for any sequences $\left\{u_{n}\right\}, u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{1},\left\{v_{n}\right\}, v_{n} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} v$ in $L^{\infty}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \bar{F}_{\infty}(u, v, \cdot)}{\partial \mathcal{L}^{N}}\left(x_{0}\right)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\bar{F}_{\infty}\left(u, v, B_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)}{\left|B_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|} \leq  \tag{23}\\
& \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{\left|B_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)} f\left(x, u_{n}(x), v_{n}(x), \nabla u_{n}(x)\right) d x .
\end{align*}
$$

By virtue of Proposition 2.2 in [2] we can replace the ball $B_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)$ in (23) by a cube of side length $\varepsilon$, and in fact from now on we consider such cubes.

As in Proposition 4.6 of [4], (see also [18] and [15]) we consider the Yosida transforms of $f$, defined as
$f_{\lambda}(x, u, v, \xi):=\sup _{\left(x^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n}}\left\{f\left(x^{\prime}, u^{\prime}, v, \xi\right)-\lambda\left[\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|+\left|u-u^{\prime}\right|\right](1+|\xi|+|v|)\right\}$
for every $\lambda>0$. Then
(i) $f_{\lambda}(x, u, v, \xi) \geq f(x, u, v, \xi)$ and $f_{\lambda}(x, u, v, \xi)$ decreases to $f(x, u, v, \xi)$ as $\lambda \rightarrow$ $+\infty$.
(ii) $f_{\lambda}(x, u, v, \xi) \geq f_{\eta}(x, u, v, \xi)$ if $\lambda \leq \eta$ for every $(x, u, v, \xi) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times$ $\mathbb{R}^{n \times N}$.
(iii) $\left|f_{\lambda}(x, u, v, \xi)-f_{\lambda}\left(x^{\prime}, u^{\prime}, v, \xi\right)\right| \leq \lambda\left(\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|+\left|u-u^{\prime}\right|\right)(1+|\xi|+|v|)$ for every $(x, u, v, \xi),\left(x^{\prime}, u^{\prime}, v, \xi\right) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times N}$.
(iv) The approximation is uniform on compact sets. Precisely let $K$ be a compact subset of $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and let $\delta>0$. There exists $\lambda>0$ such that
$f(x, u, v, \xi) \leq f_{\lambda}(x, u, v, \xi) \leq f(x, u, v, \xi)+\delta(1+|v|+|\xi|)$ for every $(x, u, v, \xi) \in$ $K \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times N}$.

Let $x_{0}$ such that (20) and (21) hold, let $\left\{\varrho_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of standard symmetric mollifiers and set $\left\{\begin{array}{l}u_{n}:=u * \varrho_{n}, \\ v_{n}:=v\end{array}\right.$. It results that $\begin{cases}u_{n} \rightarrow u & \text { in } L^{1}\left(Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right) ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \\ v_{n} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} v & \text { in } L^{\infty}\left(Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right) ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right) .\end{cases}$
Fix $\delta>0$ and let $K:=\bar{B}\left(x_{0}, \frac{\operatorname{dist}\left(x_{0}, \partial \Omega\right)}{2}\right) \times \bar{B}\left(0,\|u\|_{\infty}\right)$. By $(i) \div(i v)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(x, u_{n}(x), v(x), \nabla u_{n}(x)\right) \leq f_{\lambda}\left(x, u_{n}(x), v(x), \nabla u_{n}(x)\right) \leq \\
& f_{\lambda}\left(x_{0}, u\left(x_{0}\right), v_{n}(x), \nabla u_{n}(x)\right)+\lambda\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|+\left|u_{n}(x)-u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|\right)\left(1+|v|+\left|\nabla u_{n}(x)\right|\right) \leq \\
& f\left(x_{0}, u\left(x_{0}\right), v(x), \nabla u_{n}(x)\right)+\delta\left(1+\left|\nabla u_{n}(x)\right|+|v(x)|\right)+\lambda\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|+\left|u_{n}(x)-u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|\right) \\
& \times\left(1+\left|\nabla u_{n}(x)\right|+|v(x)|\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\nabla u_{n}(x)=\left(\nabla u * \varrho_{n}\right)(x)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{F}_{\infty}\left(u, v, Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right) \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)} f\left(x, u_{n}(x), v(x), \nabla u_{n}(x)\right) d x \leq \\
& \liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)} f\left(x_{0}, u\left(x_{0}\right), v(x), \nabla u_{n}(x)\right) d x+ \\
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)} \delta\left(1+\left|\nabla u_{n}(x)\right|+|v(x)|\right)+\lambda\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|+\left|u_{n}(x)-u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|\right) \\
& \times\left(1+\left|\nabla u_{n}(x)\right|+|v(x)|\right) d x \leq \\
& \liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)} f\left(x_{0}, u\left(x_{0}\right), v\left(x_{0}\right), \nabla u\left(x_{0}\right)\right) d x+ \\
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)} \beta\left(1+\left|\nabla u\left(x_{0}\right)+\left|+\left|\nabla u * \varrho_{n}\right|\right)\right| v(x)-v\left(x_{0}\right) \mid d x+\right. \\
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)} \beta\left|\nabla u * \varrho_{n}-\nabla u\left(x_{0}\right)\right| d x+ \\
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)} \delta\left(1+\left|\nabla u_{n}(x)\right|+|v(x)|\right)+\lambda\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|+\left|u_{n}(x)-u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|\right)  \tag{24}\\
& \times\left(1+\left|\nabla u_{n}(x)\right|+|v(x)|\right) d x
\end{align*}
$$

(where the constant $\beta$, depending on $\|v\|_{L^{\infty}}$, is the constant appearing in (5)). Since $\nabla u * \varrho_{n} \rightarrow \nabla u \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n \times N}\right)$ and $\left|D^{s} u\right|\left(\partial Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right)=0$ for each $\varepsilon>0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)} \beta\left|\nabla u * \varrho_{n}-\nabla u\left(x_{0}\right)\right| d x \leq \beta \int_{Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)}\left|\nabla u(x)-\nabla u\left(x_{0}\right)\right| d x . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Passing to the limit on the right hand side of (24), exploiting (25) in the third line and applying [18, Lemma 2.5], in the fourth line, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{F}_{\infty}\left(u, v, Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right) \leq\left|Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right|\left(f\left(x_{0}, u\left(x_{0}\right), v\left(x_{0}\right), \nabla u\left(x_{0}\right)\right)\right)+ \\
& \beta\left(1+\left|\nabla u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|\right) \int_{Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)}\left|v(x)-v\left(x_{0}\right)\right| d x+ \\
& \beta \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)}\left|\nabla u * \varrho_{n}\right|\left|v(x)-v\left(x_{0}\right)\right| d x+\int_{Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)}\left|\nabla u(x)-\nabla u\left(x_{0}\right)\right| d x+ \\
& (\lambda \varepsilon+\delta)\left[(1+C)\left|Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right|\right]+\lambda \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)}\left|u_{n}-u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|\left(1+C+\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|\right) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Recalling that $x_{0}$ is a Lebesgue point for $v, \nabla u$ and (20) holds, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{\left|Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right|} \beta\left(1+\left|\nabla u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|\right) \int_{Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)}\left|v(x)-v\left(x_{0}\right)\right| d x=0, \\
& \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{\left|Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right|} \int_{Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)}\left|\nabla u(x)-\nabla u\left(x_{0}\right)\right| d x=0, \\
& \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}}(\lambda \varepsilon+\delta)(1+C) \frac{\left|Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right|}{\left|Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right|}=\delta(1+C) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover by virtue of (21) and arguing as in the estimate of formula (5.11) of [18] we can conclude that

$$
\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\lambda}{\left|Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right|} \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)}\left|u_{n}-u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|\left(1+C+\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|\right) d x=0 .
$$

Then we can exploit (22) and argue again as done for (5.11) in [18] in order to evaluate

$$
\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\beta}{\left|Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right|} \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)}\left|\nabla u * \varrho_{n}\right|\left|v(x)-v\left(x_{0}\right)\right| d x \text {. }
$$

We will apply [18, Lemma 2.5] and the dominated convergence theorem with respect to the measure $|\nabla u| d x$, obtaining

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)}\left|v(x)-v\left(x_{0}\right)\right|\left|\nabla u_{n}(x)\right| d x \leq \\
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon+\frac{1}{n}\right)}\left(\left|v-v\left(x_{0}\right)\right| * \varrho_{n}\right)|\nabla u(x)| d x \leq \\
& \int_{\overline{Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)}}\left|v(x)-v\left(x_{0}\right)\right||\nabla u(x)| d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking into account that $|D u|\left(\partial Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right)=0$ for a.e. $\varepsilon$ one obtains from (21) that

$$
\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{\left|Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right|} \int_{Q\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)}\left|v(x)-v\left(x_{0}\right)\right|\left|\nabla u_{n}(x)\right| d x=0
$$

Consequently,

$$
g\left(x_{0}\right)=\frac{\partial \bar{F}_{\infty}(u, v)\left(x_{0}\right)}{\partial \mathcal{L}^{N}} \leq f\left(x_{0}, u\left(x_{0}\right), v\left(x_{0}\right), \nabla u\left(x_{0}\right)\right)+(1+C) \delta
$$

Finally, we send $\delta$ to 0 and that concludes the proof, when $(u, v) \in\left(W^{1,1}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap\right.$ $\left.L^{\infty}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right) \times L^{\infty}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$.

To conclude the proof, we can argue as in [18, Theorem 2.16, Step 4], in turn inspired by [4], introducing the following approximation.
Let $\phi_{n} \in C_{0}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ be such that $\phi_{n}(y)=y$ if $y \in B_{n}(0),\left\|\nabla \phi_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq 1$. By [3, Theorem 3.96] $\phi_{n}(u) \in W^{1,1}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\phi_{n}(u) \rightarrow u$ in $L^{1}$, by the lower semicontinuity of $\bar{J}_{\infty}$ we get

$$
\bar{J}_{\infty}(u, v) \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega} f\left(x, \phi_{n}(u), v, \nabla \phi_{n}(u)\right) d x
$$

Arguing in analogy with [4, Theorem 4.9] one can prove that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega} f\left(x, \phi_{n}(u), v, \nabla \phi_{n}(u)\right) d x \leq \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, v, \nabla u) d x,
$$

and this concludes the proof.

## 5 Relaxation in $W^{1,1} \times L^{p}$

This section is devoted to the proof of the following theorem. It relies on Theorem 12 and on some approximation results (see [4]).

Theorem 14. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded open set of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, and let $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times$ $\mathbb{R}^{n \times N} \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ be a continuous function. Then, assuming that $f$ satisfies hypotheses $\left(\mathrm{H} 1_{p}\right)$ and ( $\mathrm{H} 2_{p}$ )

$$
\bar{J}_{p}(u, v)=\int_{\Omega} C Q f(x, u(x), v(x), \nabla u(x)) d x
$$

for every $(u, v) \in W^{1,1}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times L^{p}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$.
Proof. The lower bound follows from Theorem 11. For what concerns the upper bound, without loss of generality, by virtue of Lemma 8 and Propostion 5 we may assume that $f$ is convex-quasiconvex.

Observe first that since $f$ fulfills $\left(H 1_{p}\right)$ and $\left(H 2_{p}\right)$, then it satisfies $\left(H 1_{\infty}\right)$ and $\left(H 2_{\infty}\right)$ in the strong form (8) - (10). Consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{J}_{p}(u, v, \Omega) \leq \bar{J}_{\infty}(u, v, \Omega) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $(u, v) \in B V\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times L^{\infty}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$.
For every positive real number $\lambda$, let $\tau_{\lambda}:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ be defined as

$$
\tau_{\lambda}(t)= \begin{cases}t & \text { if } 0 \leq t \leq \lambda \\ 0 & \text { if } t \geq \lambda\end{cases}
$$

For every $v \in L^{p}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$, define $v_{\lambda}:=\tau_{\lambda}(|v|) v$. Clearly $\int_{\Omega}\left|v_{\lambda}\right|^{p} d x \leq \int_{\Omega}|v|^{p} d x$ and $v_{\lambda} \rightarrow v$ in $L^{p}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$, as $\lambda \rightarrow+\infty$. By the lower semicontinuity of $\bar{J}_{p},(26)$, and Theorem 12, for every sequence $\{\lambda\}$ such that $\lambda \rightarrow+\infty$ we have that

$$
\bar{J}_{p}(u, v) \leq \liminf _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \bar{J}_{p}\left(u, v_{\lambda}\right)=\liminf _{\lambda \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u(x), v_{\lambda}(x), \nabla u(x)\right) d x
$$

Lebesgue's dominated convergence Theorem entails that

$$
\bar{J}_{p}(u, v, \Omega)=\int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x), v(x), \nabla u(x)) d x
$$

for every $(u, v) \in W^{1,1}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \times L^{p}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$, and that concludes the proof.
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